



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,470	•	11/20/2001	Janos Bodor	F7575(V)	7515
201	7590	01/29/2004		EXAMINER	
UNILEVE		PAIG	BHAT, NINA NMN		
PATENT D 45 RIVER I		ENI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EDGEWAT	ER, NJ	07020	1761		
				DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/046,470	BODOR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	N. Bhat	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).					
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	luly 2002	•					
· ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a 	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documer 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language put 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest language put 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest language put 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest language put 15 and	nts have been received. Into have been received in Application on the documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into of the certified copies not receive the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 irst sentence of the specification of the control of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 12 irst priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	tion No yed in this National Stage yed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. sceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/046,470

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

- Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite 1. for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 5, applicant has recited a broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 5 recites the broad recitation a yellowness factor of less than 3333, and the claim also recites "preferably of less than 2850" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. Applicant should recite the narrower range as a dependent claim depending from claim 5 in order to obviate the rejection.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/046,470

Art Unit: 1761

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Runge et al. USP 6,261,598.

Runge '598 teaches providing a carotenoid formulation comprising a mixture of beta-carotene, lycopene and luetin, which is used in human and animal foods, cosmetics and pharmaceuticals. Runge '598 teaches that the ratio and amounts of the carotenoids is a mixture of 1 part beta carotene, 0.05 to 20 parts of lycopene and 0.05 to 220 parts of luetin, which are in the form of dispersion, emulsions and suspensions in particular oil containing suspensions which are encapsulated into a gelatin capsule. From the examples, the amount of carotenoids contained in the composition is within the range as claimed by applicant, as the soft gelatin capsulate comprises .5-20 mg of beta-carotene, 0.5 to 20 mg of lycopene and 0.5 to 20 mg of luetein. With respect to applicant's claim to the yellowness factor and yellowness index, this would be an inherent property of the carotenoid composition and thus Runge et al.'598 fully anticipates applicant's claims.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. DeFreitas et al. teaches microcapsules having a carotenoid content of between 10% and 50% by weight. The carotenoid content of the finished microcapsules is in excess of 80% of the carotenoids present in the starting material. DeFreitas does not qualify as prior art against applicant's invention and presented to

Application/Control Number: 10/046,470 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

applicant's review. Tanaka teaches a process for the production of encapsulated dunaliella algae, which includes beta-carotene. Runge '029 teaches carotenoid formulations used in human and animal food, pharmaceuticals and cosmetics. Hegasy et al. teach gelatin-containing B-carotene. Bernhard et al. teach pigmentation with carotenoids.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Bhat whose telephone number is 571-272-1397. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0987.

N. Bhat Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761